

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

April 9, 1997

LB 263

saying that "noxious weed" is not that code word. But if they vote against the amendment, that means "noxious weed" is that code word. If you vote no, then that's what the definition of that word is, that term is. If you vote for the amendment, Senator Kristensen, you're saying that this term is not intended as a derogatory code word toward gay and lesbian people. That's what the amendment says. It's explaining that this word does not mean that. If you agree that the word does not mean that, then you vote yes. If you think the word, in fact, does mean it, you have to vote no, because this language, it's like saying this, A does not equal B. If that is true, then you agree to it. But if you think it's false, then you don't agree to it. So if you think that this statement is true, that "noxious weed" is not a slam against these people, then you vote yes. You're saying, I agree, that word is not designed to do that. But if the word is designed to do that, then you vote against this amendment, because what you want to do is affirm that the word does do that. There is...Senator Bohlke is suggesting none of the above, but there is no none of the above, Senator Bohlke. Oh, Senator Bohlke says she...she said, not vote. No, no, that won't help. No, no. In this moral game of life, you're either a yea or a nay, you're either hot or you're cold. If you're lukewarm, you're spewed out of the mouth of the Savior. I didn't make that spit illusion up, that came from Revelations; you're supposedly hot or cold, and Senator Jensen can confirm that for me. So I'm going to take a vote on this. Now, I know when Senator Dierks offered his bill there is no intent on his part to have these two words, "noxious weed", refer to gay or lesbian people. But I want to be sure that in view of what has been happening on the floor of the Legislature, a new agenda has not been imposed. So I want us to declare. Now, I'll vote for this amendment, because, as far as I'm concerned, no matter what anybody else means, this is not a code word. So we can vote for the amendment. I'd like to ask Senator Bohlke a question. Senator Bohlke, first of all, I want to see if you agree with the way that I've explained it? If you vote for this amendment, not saying that you're going to vote either way, but if you vote for this amendment, you're agreeing that this word, this term is not...

SENATOR BOHLKE: It is not a code.